

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,863	08/30/2001	Tadao Takagi	110498	7539
25944 7590 10/17/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 09/941,863
 TAKAGI, TADAO

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Jonathan Ouellette
 3629

	Jonathan Ouellette	3629					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Jonathan Ouellette</u> .	(3)						
(2) Randi Issacs.	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>15 October 2008</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Quellette and Ms. Isaacs discussed the requirement for corrected drawings issued with the notice of allowability on 9/11/2004. Ms. Isaacs indicated that the corrected (translated) drawsings had been previously submitted on 1/7/2002. Examiner Quellette agreed that the drawings submitted on 1/7/2002 were valid and that no corrected drawings are required.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Jonathan Guellette/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3629							